arXiv:1210.6785v1 [nlin.SI] 25 Oct 2012

THE INTEGRAL TYPE GAUGE TRANSFORMATION AND THE ADDITIONAL SYMMETRY FOR THE CONSTRAINED KP HIERARCHY

JIPENG CHENG†, JINGSONG HE* ‡

† *Department of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou, Jiangsu 221116, P. R. China*

‡ *Department of Mathematics, Ningbo University, Ningbo , Zhejiang 315211, P. R. China*

Abstract. In this paper, the compatibility between the integral type gauge transformation and the additional symmetry of the constrained KP hierarchy is given. And the string-equation constraint in matrix models is also derived.

Keywords: constrained KP hierarchy, integral type gauge transformation, additional symmetry.

PACS: 02.30.Ik

2010 MSC: 35Q53, 37K10, 37K40

1. Introduction

The Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) hierarchy (see [1,2] references therein) is one of the most famous integrable systems, which has many important applications in theoretical physics and mathematics, such as 2d quantum gravity [3–5] and infinite - dimensional Lie algebras [6,7]. The constrained KP (cKP) hierarchy [8–10], developed from the point of view of symmetry constraint, can be viewed as an important reduction of the KP hierarchy, which includes many well-known integrable systems, such as the AKNS hierarchy, the Yajima-Oikawa hierarchy and many others [8–10].

The gauge transformation [11,12] is an efficient method to solve the KP hierarchy, which in fact reflects the intrinsic integrability of the KP hierarchy. Chau *et al* [11] introduce two types of elementary gauge transformation operators: the differential type T_D and the integral type T_I. By now, the gauge transformations of many integrable hierarchies related to KP hierarchy have been derived, for example, the cKP hierarchy [12–16], the constrained BKP and CKP hierarchy [17] (cBKP and cCKP), the discrete KP hierarchy [18,19], the q-KP hierarchy [20,21] and so on. The additional symmetry [22–32] is a kind of symmetry depending explicitly on the space and time variables, involved in so-called string equation and the generalized Virasoro constraints in matrix models of the 2d quantum gravity (see [2,33] and references therein). The corresponding additional symmetry for the cKP hierarchy is constructed in [34–36] by an appropriate modification of the standard additional symmetry flows by adding a set of "ghost" symmetry flows. So it is an interesting problem to show the compatibility between the gauge transformation and the additional symmetry of the cKP hierarchy, in order to show a new inner consistency of the integrable hierarchy.

---

[*] Corresponding author. Email: hejingsong@nbu.edu.cn. Tel: 86-574-87600739.

In this paper, we mainly restrict to the integral type gauge transformation T_I, and show that the additional symmetry flows for the cKP hierarchy commute with the integral type gauge transformations preserving the form of cKP , up to shifting of the corresponding additional flows by ordinary time flows, which reflects one of the intrinsic consistences for the cKP hierarchy: the compatibility between the integral type gauge transformations and the additional symmetries. Further, upon the basis of this result, the string-equation constraint in matrix models is also derived.

This paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2, we recall some background on the KP hierarchy. Then the integral type gauge transformation and the additional symmetry for the cKP hierarchy are reviewed in Section 3 and Section 4 respectively. In Section 5, we study the compatibility of the integral type gauge transformation and the additional symmetry for the cKP hierarchy. At last, some conclusions and discussions are given in section 6.

2. Background on the KP Hierarchy

The KP hierarchy (see [1,2] references therein) is defined as the following Lax equation:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_n} = [B_n, L], \quad B_n = (L^n)_+, \; n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots \tag{1}$$

with the Lax operator given by

$$L = \partial + u_2\partial^{-1} + u_3\partial^{-2} + \cdots , \tag{2}$$

where $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, coefficient functions u_i are all the functions of the time variables $t = (t_1 = x, t_2, t_3, \cdots)$, and $(A)_\pm$ denote the differential part and the integral part of the pseudo-differential operator A, respectively.

One can also represent the Lax operator in terms of a dressing operator W:

$$L = W\partial W^{-1}, \qquad W = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j\partial^{-j}, \tag{3}$$

and then the Lax equation (1) is equivalent to the Sato's equation:

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t_n} = -(L^n)_- W. \tag{4}$$

Define next the Baker-Akhiezer (BA) function by:

$$\psi(t, \lambda) = W(e^{\xi(t,\lambda)}) = w(t, \lambda)e^{\xi(t,\lambda)}; \;\; w(t, \lambda) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_i(t)\lambda^{-i}, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\xi(t, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_n\lambda^n. \tag{6}$$

Accordingly, there is also an adjoint BA function:

$$\psi^*(t, \lambda) = W^{*-1}(e^{-\xi(t,\lambda)}) = w^*(t, \lambda)e^{-\xi(t,\lambda)}; \;\; w^*(t, \lambda) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_i^*(t)\lambda^{-i}. \tag{7}$$

Then the Lax equation (1) can be regarded as the compatibility conditions for the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\psi(t,\lambda)) &= \lambda\psi(t,\lambda), \qquad \frac{\partial\psi(t,\lambda)}{\partial t_n} = B_n(\psi(t,\lambda)), \\ L^*(\psi^*(t,\lambda)) &= \lambda\psi^*(t,\lambda), \qquad \frac{\partial\psi^*(t,\lambda)}{\partial t_n} = -B_n^*(\psi^*(t,\lambda)). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Here for any (pseudo-) differential operator A and a function f, the symbol $A(f)$ will indicate the action of A on f, whereas the symbol Af will denote just operator product of A and f, and $*$ stands for the conjugate operation: $(AB)^* = B^*A^*$, $\partial^* = -\partial$, $f^* = f$.

The whole KP hierarchy can be characterized by a single function $\tau(t)$ called τ-function such that

$$w(t,\lambda) = \frac{\tau(t-[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau(t)}, \tag{9}$$

$$w^*(t,\lambda) = \frac{\tau(t+[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau(t)}, \tag{10}$$

where $[\lambda^{-1}] = (\lambda^{-1}, \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{-2}, \cdots)$. This implies that all dynamical variables u_i in the Lax operator L can be expressed by τ-function, which is an essential character of the KP hierarchy..

If the functions $q(t)$ and $r(t)$ satisfy

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t_n} = B_n(q), \qquad \frac{\partial r}{\partial t_n} = -B_n^*(r), \tag{11}$$

then we call them the eigenfunction and the adjoint eigenfunction respectively.

The cKP hierarchy [10] is defined by restricting the Lax operator of the ordinary KP hierarchy (1) in the following form:

$$L = \partial + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i \partial^{-1} r_i, \tag{12}$$

where q_i and r_i are the eigenfunction and adjoint eigenfunction respectively.

3. THE INTEGRAL TYPE GAUGE TRANSFORMATION OF THE cKP HIERARCHY

Let $L^{(0)}$ be the Lax operator of the KP hierarchy (1), and T be a pseduo-differential operator. If the transformation

$$L^{(1)} = TL^{(0)}T^{-1} \tag{13}$$

such that

$$\frac{\partial L^{(1)}}{\partial t_n} = [B_n^{(1)}, L^{(1)}], \quad B_n^{(1)} = (L^{(1)})_+^n, \ n = 1,2,3,\cdots \tag{14}$$

still holds for transformed Lax operator $L^{(1)}$, then T is called the gauge transformation operator of the KP hierarchy.

Chau *et al* [11] proved there are the following two kinds of the gauge transformation operators:

$$\text{Type I}: \qquad T_D(\chi) = \chi\partial\chi^{-1}, \tag{15}$$

$$\text{Type II}: \qquad T_I(\mu) = \mu^{-1}\partial^{-1}\mu, \tag{16}$$

where χ and μ are the eigenfunction and adjoint eigenfunction respectively. The type I transformation is called the differential type, while the type II is called the integral type. In this paper, we mainly study the second one, that is, the integral type.

The gauge transformation of the cKP hierarchy is investigated in [12–14, 16]. Here we only review some results about the integral type. Assume

$$L^{(0)} = \partial + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i^{(0)} \partial^{-1} r_i^{(0)} \tag{17}$$

be the Lax operator of the cKP hierarchy. Under the integral type gauge transformation $T_I(\mu)$, the transformed Lax operator will be:

$$\begin{aligned}
L^{(1)} &= T_I(\mu) L^{(0)} T_I(\mu)^{-1} = \partial + L_-^{(1)}, && (18)\\
L_-^{(1)} &= q_0^{(1)} \partial^{-1} r_0^{(1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i^{(1)} \partial^{-1} r_i^{(1)}, && (19)\\
q_0^{(1)} &= \mu^{-1}, \quad r_0^{(1)} = -(T_D(\mu) L^{(0)*})(\mu), && (20)\\
q_i^{(1)} &= T_I(\mu)(q_i^{(0)}), \quad r_i^{(1)} = T_I(\mu)^{*-1}(r_i^{(0)}) = -T_D(\mu)(r_i^{(0)}), && (21)\\
\tau^{(1)} &= \mu \tau^{(0)}. && (22)
\end{aligned}$$

In order to preserve the form (17) of the Lax operator $L^{(0)}$, μ is required to coincide with one of the original adjoint eigenfunctions of $L^{(0)}$, e.g. $\mu = r_1^{(0)}$, since $r_1^{(1)} = 0$ in this case. Applying successive the integral type gauge transformations

$$L^{(k+1)} = T_I^{(k)} L^{(k)} \left(T_I^{(k)}\right)^{-1}, \quad T_I^{(k)} \equiv \left(r_1^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \partial^{-1} r_1^{(k)} \tag{23}$$

yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
L^{(k+1)} &= \partial + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i^{(k)} \partial^{-1} r_i^{(k)}, && (24)\\
q_1^{(k+1)} &= \left(r_1^{(k)}\right)^{-1}, \quad r_1^{(k+1)} = -(T_D(r_1^{(k)}) L^{(k)*})(r_1^{(k)}), && (25)\\
q_i^{(k+1)} &= T_I^{(k)}(q_i^{(k)}), \quad r_i^{(k+1)} = \left(T_I^{(k)}\right)^{*-1}(r_i^{(k)}),\ i = 2, 3, ..., m, && (26)\\
\tau^{(k+1)} &= r_1^{(k)} \tau^{(k)}. && (27)
\end{aligned}$$

Successive applications of the integral type gauge transformation will lead to,

$$\begin{aligned}
r_1^{(n)} &= (-1)^n \frac{W_{n+1}[r_1^{(0)}, \eta_1^{(1)}, ..., \eta_1^{(n)}]}{W_n[r_1^{(0)}, \eta_1^{(1)}, ..., \eta_1^{(n-1)}]}, && (28)\\
r_i^{(n)} &= (-1)^n \frac{W_{n+1}[r_1^{(0)}, \eta_1^{(1)}, ..., \eta_1^{(n-1)}, r_i^{(0)}]}{W_n[r_1^{(0)}, \eta_1^{(1)}, ..., \eta_1^{(n-1)}]}, && (29)\\
\tau^{(n)} &= r_1^{(n-1)} r_1^{(n-2)} ... r_1^{(0)} \tau^{(0)} = W_n[\eta_1^{(n-1)}, ..., \eta_1^{(1)}, r_1^{(0)}] \tau^{(0)}, && (30)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_1^{(k)} = (L^{(0)*})^k(r_1^{(0)})$.

Some useful identities involving the integral type gauge transformation of the $f\partial^{-1}g$-form are listed in the lemma below.

Lemma 1.

$$T_I(r_a)(M\partial^{-1}r_a)T_I(r_a)^{-1} = -r_a^{-1}\partial^{-1}\left\{T_D(r_a)(M\partial^{-1}r_a)^*(r_a)\right\}, \tag{31}$$

$$T_I(r_a)(q_a\partial^{-1}N)T_I(r_a)^{-1} = -r_a^{-1}\partial^{-1}\left\{T_D(r_a)(q_a\partial^{-1}N)^*(r_a)\right\} + \widetilde{L}(\widetilde{q}_a)\partial^{-1}\widetilde{N}, \tag{32}$$

$$T_I(r_a)(M\partial^{-1}N)T_I(r_a)^{-1} = -r_a^{-1}\partial^{-1}\left\{T_D(r_a)(M\partial^{-1}N)^*(r_a)\right\} + \widetilde{M}\partial^{-1}\widetilde{N}, \tag{33}$$

$$\widetilde{L}^{k+1}(\widetilde{q}_a) = T_I(r_a)L^k(q_a), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, ..., \tag{34}$$

$$(\widetilde{L}^*)^{k-1}(\widetilde{r}_a) = T_I(r_a)^{*-1}(L^*)^k(r_a), \quad k = 1, 2, 3.... \tag{35}$$

where r_a is one of the adjoint eigenfunctions of the cKP hierarchy (12), M and N are two functions of t, and

$$\widetilde{L} = T_I(r_a)LT_I(r_a)^{-1}, \quad \widetilde{q}_a = 1/r_a, \quad \widetilde{M} = T_I(r_a)(M), \quad \widetilde{N} = T_I(r_a)^{*-1}(N). \tag{36}$$

Proof. Firstly, according to $f\partial^{-1} - \partial^{-1}f = \partial^{-1}f_x\partial^{-1}$ and $\partial f - f\partial = f_x$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_I(r_a)(M\partial^{-1}N)T_I(r_a)^{-1} = r_a^{-1}\partial^{-1}r_a(M\partial^{-1}N)r_a^{-1}\partial r_a \\
= \;& r_a^{-1}\left(\partial_x^{-1}(r_aM)\partial^{-1} - \partial^{-1}\partial_x^{-1}(r_aM)\right)Nr_a^{-1}\partial r_a \\
= \;& r_a^{-1}\partial_x^{-1}(r_aM)\partial^{-1}(\partial Nr_a^{-1} - (Nr_a^{-1})_x)r_a - r_a^{-1}\partial^{-1}\left(\partial\partial_x^{-1}(r_aM)Nr_a^{-1} - (\partial_x^{-1}(r_aM)Nr_a^{-1})_x\right)r_a \\
= \;& r_a^{-1}\partial_x^{-1}(r_aM)N - r_a^{-1}\partial_x^{-1}(r_aM)\partial^{-1}(Nr_a^{-1})_xr_a - r_a^{-1}\partial_x^{-1}(r_aM)N + r_a^{-1}\partial^{-1}(\partial_x^{-1}(r_aM)Nr_a^{-1})_xr_a \\
= \;& T_I(r_a)(M)\partial^{-1}T_I(r_a)^{*-1}(N) - r_a^{-1}\partial^{-1}\left\{T_D(r_a)(M\partial^{-1}N)^*(r_a)\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_x^{-1}(fg) = \int fgdx$. So (33) is be proved. (31) can be derived from (33) for $N = r_a$ since $T_I(r_a)^{*-1}(r_a) = 0$.

Then for (34),

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{L}^{k+1}(\widetilde{q}_a) &= T_I(r_a)L^{k+1}T_I(r_a)^{-1}(r_a^{-1}) \\
&= T_I(r_a)L^k(\partial + \sum_{i=0}^{m} q_i\partial^{-1}r_i)r_a^{-1}\partial r_a(r_a^{-1}) = T_I(r_a)L^k(q_a).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we set $q_i\partial_x^{-1}(0) = 0$ for $i \neq a$, and $q_a\partial_x^{-1}(0) = q_a$. (33) and (34) will lead to (32).

At last,

$$T_I(r_a)^{*-1}(L^*)^k(r_a) = T_I(r_a)^{*-1}(L^*)^{k-1}T_I(r_a)^*T_I(r_a)^{*-1}L^*(r_a) = (\widetilde{L}^*)^{k-1}(\widetilde{r}_a).$$

□

4. ADDITIONAL SYMMETRIES OF THE cKP HIERARCHY

The additional symmetry flows [34] for the cKP hierarchy (12), spanning the Virasoro algebra, are given by:

$$\partial_k^* L = [-(ML^k)_- + X_{k-1}^{(1)}, L], \tag{37}$$

where M is the Orlov-Schulman operator [24] defined in the dressing the "bare" $M^{(0)}$ operator:

$$M^{(0)} = \sum_{l\geq 1} l t_l \partial^{l-1} = x + \sum_{l\geq 1}(l+1)t_{l+1}\partial^l, \tag{38}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= WM^{(0)}W^{-1} = WxW^{-1} + \sum_{l\geq 1}(l+1)t_{l+1}L^l = x + \sum_{l\geq 1}(l+1)t_{l+1}(L^l)_+ + M_-, && (39)\\ M_- &= WxW^{-1} - x - \sum_{l\geq 1}(l+1)t_{1+l}\frac{\partial W}{\partial t_l}W^{-1}, && (40)\end{aligned}$$

with (4) used in (40). Define

$$X_k^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(j - \frac{1}{2}(k-1)\right) L^{k-1-j}(q_i)\partial^{-1}(L^*)^j(r_i); \quad k \geq 1, \tag{41}$$

which is the essential to ensure the compatibility of the additional Virasoro symmetry with the constraints (12) defining the cKP hierarchy

Then accordingly, the actions of the additional symmetry flows on the dressing operators and BA functions are showed that:

$$\partial_k^* W = \left(-(ML^k)_- + X_{k-1}^{(1)}\right) W; \quad \partial_k^*\psi(t,\lambda) = \left(-(ML^k)_- + X_{k-1}^{(1)}\right)(\psi(t,\lambda)). \tag{42}$$

The corresponding actions on the eigenfunctions q_i and the adjoint eigenfunctions r_i are derived by considering $(\partial_k^* L)_-$ listed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k^* q_i &= (ML^k)_+(q_i) + \frac{k}{2}L^{k-1}(q_i) + X_{k-1}^{(1)}(q_i), && (43)\\ \partial_k^* r_i &= -(ML^k)_+^*(r_i) + \frac{k}{2}(L^*)^{k-1}(r_i) - (X_{k-1}^{(1)})^*(r_i). && (44)\end{aligned}$$

5. ADDITIONAL SYMMETRIES VERSUS THE INTEGRAL TYPE GAUGE TRANSFORMATIONS FOR THE cKP HIERARCHY

In this section, we will restrict the cKP hierarchy (12) to $m = 1$ case. And thus its Lax operator is given by

$$L = \partial + q\partial^{-1}r. \tag{45}$$

In order to investigate the changes of the additional symmetries under the integral type gauge transformation $T_I(r)$, some useful lemmas are needed.

Lemma 2.

$$T_I(r)X^{(1)}_{k-1}T_I(r)^{-1} = \widetilde{X}^{(1)}_{k-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\widetilde{L}^{k-j-2}(\widetilde{q})\partial^{-1}\widetilde{L}^j(\widetilde{r})+r^{-1}\partial^{-1}\left\{T_I(r)^{*-1}(X^{(1)}_{k-1}-\frac{k}{2}L^{k-1})^*(r)\right\}, \tag{46}$$

Proof. According to Lemma 1, and (41) for $m=1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_I(r)X^{(1)}_{k-1}T_I(r)^{-1} \\
= \ & -r^{-1}\partial^{-1}T_D(r)(X^{(1)}_{k-1})^*(r)+\sum_{j=1}^{k-2}\left(j-\frac{1}{2}(k-2)\right)\widetilde{L}^{k-j-1}(\widetilde{q})\partial^{-1}(\widetilde{L}^*)^{j-1}(\widetilde{r}) \\
= \ & -r^{-1}\partial^{-1}T_D(r)(X^{(1)}_{k-1})^*(r)+\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\left(j-\frac{1}{2}(k-2)\right)\widetilde{L}^{k-j-2}(\widetilde{q})\partial^{-1}(\widetilde{L}^*)^{j}(\widetilde{r}) \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\widetilde{L}^{k-j-2}(\widetilde{q})\partial^{-1}(\widetilde{L}^*)^{j}(\widetilde{r})-(1+k-2-\frac{1}{2}(k-2))\widetilde{q}\partial^{-1}(\widetilde{L}^*)^{k-2}(\widetilde{r}) \\
= \ & -r^{-1}\partial^{-1}T_D(r)(X^{(1)}_{k-1})^*(r)+\widetilde{X}^{(1)}_{k-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\widetilde{L}^{k-j-2}(\widetilde{q})\partial^{-1}\widetilde{L}^j(\widetilde{r}) \\
& -\frac{k}{2}r^{-1}\partial^{-1}T_I(r)^{*-1}(L^*)^{k-1}(r) \\
= \ & \widetilde{X}^{(1)}_{k-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\widetilde{L}^{k-j-2}(\widetilde{q})\partial^{-1}\widetilde{L}^j(\widetilde{r})+r^{-1}\partial^{-1}\left\{T_I(r)^{*-1}(X^{(1)}_{k-1}-\frac{k}{2}L^{k-1})^*(r)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.

$$\partial^*_k T_I(r)\cdot T_I(r)^{-1} = r^{-1}\partial^{-1}\left\{T_I(r)^{*-1}\left(-(ML^k)^*_+ +\frac{k}{2}(L^*)^{k-1}-(X^{(1)}_{k-1})^*\right)(r)\right\}. \tag{47}$$

Proof. By (44),

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^*_k T_I(r)\cdot T_I(r)^{-1} &= = -T_I(r)\partial^*_k(T_I(r)^{-1}) \\
&= r^{-1}\partial^{-1}\partial^*_k(r)r^{-1}\partial r - r^{-1}\partial^*_k(r) \\
&= r^{-1}\partial^{-1}(\partial\partial^*_k(r)r^{-1}-(\partial^*_k(r)r^{-1})_x)r - r^{-1}\partial^*_k(r) \\
&= -r^{-1}\partial^{-1}\left\{r(r^{-1}\partial^*_k r)_x\right\} \\
&= r^{-1}\partial^{-1}\left\{T_I(r)^{*-1}(\partial^*_k r)\right\} \\
&= r^{-1}\partial^{-1}\left\{T_I(r)^{*-1}\left(-(ML^k)^*_+ +\frac{k}{2}(L^*)^{k-1}-(X^{(1)}_{k-1})^*\right)(r)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4. *The additional symmetry flows (37) for the cKP hierarchy (45) commute with the integral type transformations preserving the form of cKP , up to shifting of (37) by ordinary time* *flows, that is,*

$$\partial_k^* \widetilde{L} = [-(\widetilde{M}\widetilde{L}^k)_- + \widetilde{X}^{(1)}_{k-1}, \widetilde{L}] + \frac{\partial \widetilde{L}}{\partial t_{k-1}}. \tag{48}$$

Proof. Firstly, by (37),

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_k^* \widetilde{L} &= \partial_k^* T_I(r) \cdot L T_I(r)^{-1} + T_I(r) \partial_k^* L \cdot T_I(r)^{-1} - T_I(r) L T_I(r)^{-1} \cdot \partial_k^* T_I(r) \cdot T_I(r)^{-1} \\
&= \Big[T_I(r) \Big(-(ML^k)_- + X^{(1)}_{k-1} \Big) T_I(r)^{-1} + \partial_k^* T_I(r) \cdot T_I(r)^{-1}, \widetilde{L} \Big] \qquad (49)
\end{aligned}$$

Then with the help of (46), (47), and the following useful formula [37]

$$(r^{-1}\partial^{-1} r P r^{-1} \partial r)_- = r^{-1}\partial^{-1} r P_- r^{-1} \partial r - r^{-1}\partial^{-1} \left\{ r (r^{-1}(P^*)_+(r))_x \right\}, \tag{50}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_I(r) \Big(-(ML^k)_- + X^{(1)}_{k-1} \Big) T_I(r)^{-1} + \partial_k^* T_I(r) \cdot T_I(r)^{-1} \\
= \; & T_I(r) \Big(-(ML^k)_- \Big) T_I(r)^{-1} + r^{-1}\partial^{-1} \Big\{ T_I(r)^{*-1} \Big(-(ML^k)^*_+ \Big)(r) \Big\} \\
& + \widetilde{X}^{(1)}_{k-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \widetilde{L}^{k-j-2}(\widetilde{q}) \partial^{-1} \widetilde{L}^j(\widetilde{r}) \\
= \; & -(\widetilde{M}\widetilde{L}^k)_- + \widetilde{X}^{(1)}_{k-1} + (\widetilde{L}^{k-1})_-, \qquad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

where the following relation [38] is used,

$$(\widetilde{L}^{k-1})_- = \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \widetilde{L}^{k-j-2}(\widetilde{q}) \partial^{-1} \widetilde{L}^j(\widetilde{r}). \tag{52}$$

At last, the substituting (51) into (49) gives rise to (48). □

Remark: when $m > 1$, (48) will not hold. In fact, when $m > 1$, (46) will become into

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_I(r_a) X^{(1)}_{k-1} T_I(r_a)^{-1} \\
= \; & \widetilde{X}^{(1)}_{k-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \widetilde{L}^{k-j-2}(\widetilde{q_a}) \partial^{-1} \widetilde{L}^j(\widetilde{r_a}) + r_a^{-1}\partial^{-1} \left\{ T_I(r_a)^{*-1} (X^{(1)}_{k-1} - \frac{k}{2} L^{k-1})^*(r_a) \right\}, \qquad (53)
\end{aligned}$$

where r_a is one of the adjoint eigenfunctions in (12). We can see that $\sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \widetilde{L}^{k-j-2}(\widetilde{q_a}) \partial^{-1} \widetilde{L}^j(\widetilde{r_a})$ can not be written as $(\widetilde{L}^{k-1})_-$ because of (52). Thus from the proof of (48), the term of $\partial_{t_{k-1}} \widetilde{L}$ in (48) can not be derived.

The string-equation constraint can be derived through the lowest additional symmetry ∂_0^*, that is,

$$\partial_0^* L = 0 \;\; \rightarrow \;\; [M_+, L] = -1; \;\; \partial_0^* r = 0 \;\; \rightarrow \;\; M_+^*(r) = 0 \tag{54}$$

With the help of (11), (39) and (44), the constraints on the Lax operator L and the adjoint eigenfunction $r(t)$ will be derived respectively.

$$\sum_{l \geq 1} (l+1) t_{l+1} \frac{\partial L}{\partial t_l} + [x, L] \;\; = \;\; -1 \tag{55}$$

$$\left(-\sum_{l\geq 1}(l+1)t_{l+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_l}+x\right)r(t) \quad = \quad 0 \tag{56}$$

As for the string-equation constraint on the tau functions are showed in the following proposition:

Proposition 5. *The Wronskian tau functions (30) of the cKP hierarchy (45) generated by the integral type gauge transformation, invariant under the lowest additional symmetry flow (37), satisfy the constraint equation:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(-\sum_{l\geq 1}(l+1)t_{l+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_l}+nx\right)\frac{\tau^{(n)}}{\tau^{(0)}}\\
=\ &\left(-\sum_{l\geq 1}(l+1)t_{l+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_l}+nx\right)W_n\left[\left(L^{(0)*}\right)^{n-1}(r),\left(L^{(0)*}\right)^{n-2}(r),...,\left(L^{(0)*}\right)(r),r\right]=0
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Proof. Firstly, note that $r^{(k)}$ satisfy the same constraint (56) according to Proposition 4. Thus from (30), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(-\sum_{l\geq 1}(l+1)t_{l+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_l}+nx\right)\frac{\tau^{(n)}}{\tau^{(0)}}\\
=\ &\left(-\sum_{l\geq 1}(l+1)t_{l+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_l}+nx\right)r^{(n-1)}r^{(n-2)}...r^{(0)}\\
=\ &\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}r^{(n-1)}...\widehat{r^{(n-1)}}...r^{(0)}\left(-\sum_{l\geq 1}(l+1)t_{l+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_l}+x\right)r^{(k)}=0
\end{aligned}$$

□

6. Conclusions and Discussions

The interplay of the integral type gauge transformation T_I with the additional symmetry at the instance of cKP integrable hierarchy is showed in Proposition 4 (see (48)), which shows the intrinsic coordination of the cKP hierarchy, just like the compatibility [34] between the differential type gauge transformation and the additional symmetry. The string-equation constraints, through the lowest additional symmetry ∂_0^*, on the Lax operator L, the adjoint eigenfunction $r(t)$ and the tau functions, are listed in (55) (56) and (57) respectively. These results show that KP integrable hierarchy is a kind of integrable system with many intrinsic coordinations.

Although this kind of compatibility between the differential type gauge transformation T_D and the additional symmetry has been given in [34]. But this is not enough to study the cBKP and cCKP because the combination of T_D and T_I is necessary to satisfy the reduction conditions of the BKP and CKP hierarchies [17]. So our results provide a possible basis to explore the consistency of the gauge transformation and the additional symmetry in the cBKP and cCKP hierarchies. This work will be done in a near future.

Acknowledgements: This work is supported by "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities" No. 2012QNA45.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa. Transformation groups for soliton equations, in *Nonlinear integrable systems - classical theory and quantum theory*, ed. by M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, World Scientific, Singapore, 1983, pp.39-119.

[2] L. A. Dickey. Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems (2nd Edition), World scientific, Singapore, 2003.

[3] E. Witten. Two dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space. Surveys in Diff. Geom. 1(1991) 243-310.

[4] M. Kontsevich. Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function. Comm. Math. Phys. 147(1992) 1-23.

[5] M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke. A matrix integral solutionto two-dimensional W_p gravity. Comm. Math. Phys. 147(1992)25-56.

[6] V. Kac. Infinite dimensional Lie algebras (3rd Edition), Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.

[7] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa. Solitons and infinite dimensional Lie algebras. Publ RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 19 (1983) 943-1001.

[8] Y. Cheng and Y. S. Li. The constraint of the KP equation and its special solutions. Phys. Lett. A 157 (1991) 22-26.

[9] B. Konopelchenko, J. Sidorenko and W. Strampp. $(1+1)$-dimensional integrable systems as symmetry constraints of $(2+1)$-dimensional systems. Phys. Lett. A 157 (1991) 17-21.

[10] Y. Cheng. Constraints of the KP hierarchy. J. Math. Phys. 33(1992) 3774-3782.

[11] L. L. Chau, J. C. Shaw and H. C. Yen. Solving the KP hierarchy by gauge transformations. Comm. Math. Phys. 149(1992) 263-278.

[12] W. Oevel. Darboux theorems and Wronskian formulas for integrable system I: constrained KP flows. Physica A 195 (1993) 533-576.

[13] H. Aratyn, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva. Darboux-Backlund solutions of SL(p,q) KP-KdV hierarchies, constrained generalized Toda lattices, and two-matrix string model. Phys. Lett. A. 201(1995) 293-305 (arXiv:hep-th/9501018).

[14] L. L. Chau, J. C. Shaw and M. H. Tu. Solving the constrained KP hierarchy by gauge transformations. J. Math. Phys. 38 (1997) 4128-4137.

[15] R. Willox, I. Loris, C. R. Gilson. Binary Darboux transformations for constrained KP hierarchies. Inverse Problems 13 (1997) 849-865.

[16] J. S. He, Y. S. Li and Y. Cheng. Two choices of the gauge transformation for the AKNS hierarchy through the constrained KP hierarchy. J. Math. Phys. 44 (2003) 3928 -3960.

[17] J. S. He, Z. W. Wu and Y. Cheng. Gauge transformations for the constrained CKP and BKP hierarchies. J. Math. Phys. 48 (2007) 113519.

[18] W. Oevel. Darboux transformations for integrable lattice systems. Nonlinear Physics: theory and experiment. E. Alfinito, L. Martina and F. Pempinelli (eds), World Scientific, Singapore, 1996, 233-240.

[19] S. W. Liu, Y. Cheng and J. S. He. The determinant representation of the gauge transformation for the discrete KP hierarchy. Science China Mathematics 53(2010)1195-1206 (arXiv:0904.1868).

[20] M. H. Tu, J. C. Shaw, and C. R. Lee. On Darboux - Backlund transformations for the q-deformed Korteweg - de Vries hierarchy. Lett. Math. Phys.49 (1999) 33 - 45 (arXiv:solv-int/9811004).

[21] J. S. He, Y. H. Li and Y. Cheng. q-deformed KP hierarchy and q-deformed constrained KP hierarchy. SIGMA 2 (2006) 060.

[22] A. S. Fokas and B. Fuchssteiner. The hierarchy of the Benjamin-Ono equation. Phys. Lett. A 86(1981) 341-345.

[23] H. H. Chen, Y. C. Lee and J. E. Lin. On a new hierarchy of symmetry for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. Physica D 9 (1983)439-445.

[24] A. Yu. Orlov and E. I. Schulman. Additional symmetries for integrable systems and conformal algebra repesentation. Lett. Math. Phys. 12 (1993) 171-179.

[25] M. Adler, T. Shiota and P. van Moerbeke. A Lax representation for the vertex operator and the central extension. Comm. Math. Phys. 171 (1995) 547-588.

[26] L. A. Dickey. On additional symmetries of the KP hierarchy and Sato's Bäcklund transformation. Comm. Math. Phys. 167 (1995) 227-233.

[27] K. Takasaki. Toda lattice hierarchy and generalized string equations. Comm. Math. Phys. 181 (1996) 131-156.

[28] M. H. Tu. On the BKP hierarchy: Additional symmetries, Fay identity and Adler-Shiota- van Moerbeke formula. Lett. Math. Phys. 81 (2007) 91-105.

[29] J. S. He, K. L. Tian, A. Foerster and W. X. Ma. Additional symmetries and string equation of the CKP hierarchy. Lett. Math. Phys. 81 (2007) 119-134.

[30] J. P. Cheng, K. L. Tian and J. S. He. The additional symmetries for the BTL and CTL hierarchies. J. Math. Phys. 52(2011) 053515.

[31] C. Z. Li and J. S. He. Dispersionless bigraded Toda hierarchy and its additional symmetry. Rev. Math. Phys. 24 (2012) 1230003.

[32] C. Z. Li, J. S. He and Y. C. Su. Block type symmetry of bigraded Toda hierarchy. J. Math. Phys. 53 (2012) 013517.

[33] P. van Moerbeke. Integrable fundations of string theory, in *Lectures on Integrable systems*, Edited by O. Babelon, P. Cartier, Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994, pp.163-267.

[34] H. Aratyn, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva. Virasoro symmetry of constrained KP hierarchies. Phys. Lett. A 228(1997) 164-175 (arXiv:hep-th/9602068).

[35] K. L. Tian, J. S. He, J. P. Cheng and Y. Cheng. Additional symmetries of constrained CKP and BKP hierarchies. Sci. China Math. 54 (2011) 257-268.

[36] H. F. Shen and M. H. Tu. On the constrained B-type Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy: Hirota bilinear equations and Virasoro symmetry. J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011) 032704.

[37] W. Oevel and C. Rogers. Gauge transformations and reciprocal links in $2+1$ dimensions. Rev. Math. Phys. 5(1993) 299-330.

[38] B. Enriquez, A. Yu. Orlov and V. N. Rubtsov. Dispersionful analogues of Benney's equations and N-wave systems. Inverse Problems 12(1996) 241-250 (arXiv:solv-int/9510002).